Self-healing concrete Autogenous and bio-enhanced crack-healing





Prof. dr. Henk Jonkers Delft University of Technology Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences Materials & Environment Section h.m.jonkers@tudelft.nl



Self-healing concrete

- **1. Introduction: type of cracks and mechanisms of crack-healing**
- 2. Importance of controlling crack-width in watertight constructions
- 3. Bio-based crack-healing in practice



→ Concrete mix design and proper construction practice





(Source: Concrete Society 1992 TR22 Non-structural cracks in concrete, modified after Betoniek May 1991)

Non-load-induced cracking:

Influenced by:

- 1. The shrinkage potential (volume reduction) of the concrete mixture and
- 2. The degree of restraint the element is experiencing

Shrinkage due to loss of water from the concrete matrix:

- 1. Plastic shrinkage in concrete before hardening (evaporation, absorption, rate of bleeding)
- 2. Autogenous shrinkage \rightarrow volume decrease due to chemical reactions during cement hydration,

(before and during hardening) specifically at very low w/c ratios

- 3. Drying shrinkage in concrete after hardening (loss of adsorbed capillary water: internal neg. pressure)
- 4. Thermal contraction → temp rise due to cement hydration (expansion) followed by volume reduction due to cooling to ambient temp



Non-load-induced cracking:

W/C = 0.26

W/C = 0.45

W/C ≈ 0.55

270

365

0.

0.8

1.2

1.6

2.4

2.8 3.2

7 28

Drying shrinkage × 10⁻³



Plastic shrinkage cracks can extend throughout the entire thickness of the slab *https://concretesupplyco.com/6-concrete-cracks/* Autogenous + drying shrinkage depends on the ratio of water to cementitious materials content, volume of fine pores (dense microstructure!), aggregate content, and total water content.

180

Age, days

90

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277734494



Early thermal contraction cracks on the side of a water storage reservoir. Temp rise due to cement hydration (expansion) followed by restrained volume reduction due to cooling down.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332063869



Load-induced cracking:

Concrete cracks caused by (over)loading \rightarrow (over)load due to compressive, tensile or bending load



https://www.myrenovationspecialist.com/concrete-cracks/



https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Three-point_flexural_test



https://concretesupplyco.com/6-concrete-cracks/



Consequences for durability and water tightness

Type 1: surface cracks



Chloride ingress for sound and cracked concrete using effective cover depth (Pacheco Farías, 2015)

TUDelft

Affect durability

Type 2: through-going cracks



Affect durability and water tightness

Consequences for durability

Type 1: surface cracks



Durability of e.g. (marine) structures



Moss growth and freeze/thaw damage



Consequences for water tightness and durability

Type 2: through-going cracks



Durability and water tightness of structures



https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332063869



Consequences for water tightness and durability

- Autogenous healing MAY result in closure of < 0.2 mm wide cracks</p>
- → Autogenous healing capacity depends on many variables, e.g.:
- Clinker content
- Blaine fineness of cement
- Cement type
- Age of cracking
- W/C ratio

TUDelft

- pH and quality crack ingress water
- Water flow rate



2015 Cement and Concrete Research ^Dalin et al.

Mechanisms of crack-healing

Autogenous self-healing: the crack-healing capacity of the concrete itself



Main mechanisms producing autogenous self-healing of cementitious materials

(From: M. De Rooij, K. Van Tittelboom, N. De Belie, E. Schlangen, Self-Healing Phenomena in Cement-Based Materials, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands 2013)



Mechanisms of crack-healing

Admixture 'added' (autonomous) self-healing





Autonomous: e.g. bacteria- induced self-healing

Occurs in natural limestone- and sand stone structures





Self-healing bacteria-based concrete:

Limestone production by bacteria in <u>alkaline</u> environments

Bacteria: convert organics into CO₂

 $Ca(C_{3}H_{5}O_{3})_{2} + 6O_{2} \rightarrow CaCO_{3} + 5CO_{2} + 5H_{2}O$ $5CO_{2} + 5Ca(OH)_{2} \rightarrow 5CaCO_{3}$

pH 10-12

→ Two components required: bacteria + nutrients



13



Ca(OH)₂

Autonomous: e.g. Bacteria-induced self-healing

1. Oxygen consumption: → Reduce risk of steel corrosion

- 2. Limestone formation: → Improving water tightness / reduced CI⁻ ingress
- 3. " → Porosity decrease / density increase
 Improved damage / wear resistance





The importance of <u>limiting crack width</u> specifically in (water carrying) through-going cracks:

→ Limitation of water flow

Water flow predominantly determined by:





Crack self-healing capacity is to a major extend affected by water flow



Main mechanisms producing autogenous self-healing of cementitious materials

(From: M. De Rooij, K. Van Tittelboom, N. De Belie, E. Schlangen, Self-Healing Phenomena in Cement-Based Materials, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands 2013)



Crack self-healing capacity is to a major extend affected by water flow

Bacteria convert nutrients to limestone:

 $Ca(C_{3}H_{5}O_{3})_{2} + 6O_{2} \rightarrow CaCO_{3} + 5CO_{2} + 5H_{2}O$ $CO_{2} + 2(OH^{-}) \rightarrow CO_{3}^{2-} + H_{2}O$ $CO_{3}^{2-} + Ca^{2+} \rightarrow CaCO_{3}$

Water soluble: <u>too high</u> water flow will flush out $Ca(C_3H_5O_3)_2 + Ca(OH)_2$

Autonomous: e.g. bacteria- induced self-healing



Methods of testing: evaluation of efficiency of self healing

Water permeability – regain of water tightness

 \rightarrow Assessment of water flow through the specimen during a certain period



E. Tziviloglou et al (2014) Proceedings of the Int Conference on Ageing of Materials & Structures Delft 26 – 28 May 2014, The Netherlands



Methods of testing: evaluation of efficiency of self healing

Water permeability – regain of water tightness

 \rightarrow A rapid test delivering accurate and reliable crack permeability data



TUDelft





Type 2: through going cracks

Palin et al (2016 and 2019) International Journal of Civil Engineering (2019) 17:645–652

Consequence of crack width for water flow



Permeability (cm³/s)

→ Water flow increases exponentially with increasing crack width

Initial water flow before autogenous self-healing:

→ 0.2 mm crack: 0.7 ml/s
 → 0.4 mm crack: 3.2 ml/s

Figure 3.4 Crack permeability data for series 1, 2 and 3 in the form of 6 box plot graphs. Graphs (A, C and E) show the permeability data for the 0.2 mm clacks after flowing the water for: (A) 5; (C) 10; and (E) 30 min. Graphs (B, D and F) show the permeability data for the 0.4 mm clacks after: (B) 5; (D) 10; and (F) 30 min. The first box plot to the left of each graph shows the initial permeability, second is the permeability of cracked specimens after 28 days submersion, third are the same specimens after drying. Each box represents the permeability of 10 separate specimens. The square symbol of the boxes represents the mean permeability; the whiskers the minimum and maximum permeability values; and the top, middle and bottom lines the 75^{th} , 50^{th} and 25^{th} percentiles (x_{75} , x_5 and x_{25}), respectively.

D. Palin, H.M. Jonkers, V. Wiktor, Autogenous healing of sea-water exposed mortar: Quantification through a simple and rapid permeability test, Cement and Concrete Research, 84 (2016) 1-7.

Consequence of crack width for water flow



TUDelft

→ Water flow increases exponentially with increasing crack width

→ Observed values (symbols) against predicted values

<u>too high</u> water flow will flush out $Ca(C_3H_5O_3)_2 + Ca(OH)_2$ (autogenous + autonomous healing)

Figure 4.2 Observed values plotted against predicted values for: (A) the unmodified test whose cracks were analysed through CT; (B) the modified test whose cracks were analysed through CT; (C) the unmodified test whose cracks were analysed through stereomicroscope; and (D) the modified test whose cracks were analysed through stereomicroscope. Symbols represent the observed values; lines represent the predicted values; the darker shaded areas flanking the lines represent the 95% confidence intervals, and the lighter areas flanking those the 95% prediction intervals. The R-squared (r²) values for the models are also indicated.

D. Palin, H.M. Jonkers, V. Wiktor, Autogenous healing of sea-water exposed mortar: Quantification through a simple and rapid permeability test, Cement and Concrete Research, 84 (2016) 1-7.

Conclusions:

- 1. Through-going cracks: water flow rate strongly affects autogenous + autonomous healing efficiency → less concern (risk) for surface (non-through-going) cracks
- 2. Limit initial crack width as much as possible to increase self-healing efficiency of particularly through-going cracks

2A. Decrease thermal expansion/shrinkage (mix design, e.g. low clinker, retarder; practice, e.g. cooling)

2B. Decrease plastic shrinkage (mix design, e.g. limit water content; practice, avoid water loss, e.g. apply sufficient cover during setting)

2C. Decrease autogenous + drying shrinkage (mix design, e.g. increase aggregate/paste ratio, decrease w/c ratio, decrease volume of fines, reduce surface tension of water within the pores; practice, avoid water loss \rightarrow e.g. apply *effective* curing)

3. Make sure that mix design and construction practice (execution) are compatible: 80% of insufficient quality due to mistakes during placement and aftercare construction!



Bio-based (autonomous) healing in practice

Monitoring techniques for assessment of self-healing outdoors structures:







→ Visual crack width + closure determination
 → Water tightness (water flow)

'Healing agent'

→ Surface permeability using Karsten Tube Penetration Test

Mors & Jonkers (2019) RILEM Technical Letters 4: 138-144

Bio-based (autonomous) healing in practice

Monitoring techniques for assessment of self-healing outdoors structures:

Determining bacteria-driven limestone formation: electron microscopic analysis crack flow water



Magnification 2500x

Healing agent triple function:

1. Mild retarder (thermal expansion/contraction reducer)

2. Autogenous shrinkage reducer







Mild retarder MSc thesis Martin Megalla

Healing agent triple function:

3. Enhanced (on top of autogenous) crack-healing capacity (limestone formation)



Enhanced crack-healing

PhD thesis Emanuele Rossi



Quantification of self-healing

4th generation polymer-based healing agent



t=0 t=24d t=58d Crack healing in water



Bacterial metabolically driven mineral formation

→ Crack-sealing



Sample surface view



PhD project Emanuele Rossi, NWO-TTW (grant ALWGK.2016.021)

Bacterial metabolically driven mineral formation

→ Crack-sealing



Cross sample (polished section) view

PhD project Emanuele Rossi, NWO-TTW (grant ALWGK.2016.021)

TUDelft

Bacterial metabolically driven mineral formation





Bacterial limestone

2 µm



Healing agent best practice

- → Determine effectivity in demonstrator applications
- → Compare crack formation + crack healing in parts of structure with and without healing agent (similar mix design and execution practice)





Self-healing concrete products



32